

1. CALL TO ORDER

Call to Order – 4:38 PM

2. TERRITORIAL ACKNOWLEDGMENT

We acknowledge that this meeting is being conducted on the unceded territories of the Coast Salish peoples; which, to the current knowledge of the Society include the Squamish, Musqueam, Stó:lo, and Tsleil-Waututh people.

3. ROLL CALL OF ATTENDANCE

3.1 Council Composition

Student Union Representatives

Archeology	Kim Figura
Bachelor of Environment	Mina Garlick
Behavioral Neuroscience	Ramsha Farooqui
Biology	Emerson Mohr
Biomedical Physiology & Kinesiology	Alam Khehra
Business.....	Samuel Fung
Chemistry	
Cognitive Science	Marissa Lamb
Communications	
Computing Science	Noble Tan
Criminology	Mai Baptiste
Dance.....	
Data Science.....	
Earth Science.....	Logan Garvin
Economics	Tawanda Chitapi
Education.....	Tara Trang
Engineering Science.....	Alvin David
English.....	
Environmental Resource	
Environmental Science	April Gullacher
French.....	Sharon Kim
Gender, Sexuality, and Women’s Studies	
Geography	Winnie Wu
Health Science.....	
History	Robyn Jacques
Humanities	
Interactive Arts and Technology.....	Erika Hance
International Studies	Alex Aparicio
Labour Studies	
Linguistics	Karah Bulaqui
Mathematics	Laura Funderburk
Mechatronics System Engineering (<i>Chair</i>)	Steven Richter
Molecular Biology & Biochemistry	Attul Sharma

Operations Research	Alan Bi
Philosophy	Cameron Bloom
Physics.....	Omid Pourmomtaz
Political Science	Ali Versi
Psychology	Tristan Raymond
Science Undergraduate Society (SUS)	David Evans
Society of Arts and Social Sciences (SASS)	Tiffani Rayo
Sociology and Anthropology	Andrew Araneta
Software Systems	
Statistics and Actuarial Science (SASSA).....	
Sustainable Community Development	
Theaters	
Visual Arts	
World Literature.....	
Constituency Group Representatives	
First Nations Student Association (FNSEA)	Kasey Stirling
International Student Group (ISG)	
Out on Campus Collective (OOC).....	
Residence Hall’s Association (RHA)	Sayeema Afrin
Student Athlete Advisory Committee (SAAC)	
Students United for Disability Support (SUDS).....	
Women Centre Collective (WCC).....	Simran Randhawa
Board of Directors	
SFSS Directors (non-voting)	
President.....	<i>Vacant</i>
VP External Relations	Jasdeep Gill
VP Finance	Matthew Chow
VP Student Services.....	Samer Rihani
VP Student Life	Tawanda Masawi
VP University Relations.....	Jackson Freedman
At-Large Representative	Mohammed Ali
At-Large Representative	<i>Vacant</i>
Faculty Representative (Applied Sciences)	Kia Mirsalehi
Faculty Representative (Arts & Social Sciences).....	<i>Vacant</i>
Faculty Representative (Business)	Jessica Nguyen
Faculty Representative (Communications, Art, & Technology)	Amrita Mohar
Faculty Representative (Education).....	Cameron Nakatsu
Faculty Representative (Environment)	Russell Dunsford
Faculty Representative (Health Sciences)	Christina Loutsik
Faculty Representative (Science).....	Natasha Birdi
3.2 Society Staff	
Campaign, Research, and Policy Coordinator.....	Sarah Edmunds
Member Services Coordinator – Student Unions	Ayesha Ali
Student Union Outreach Worker	Justin Chen

3.3 Regrets

Biomedical Physiology & Kinesiology	Alam Khehra
Computing Science	Noble Tan

3.4 Absents

History	Robyn Jacques
Mathematics	Laura Funderburk
Molecular Biology & Biochemistry	Attul Sharma
Philosophy	Cameron Bloom
Residence Hall's Association (RHA)	Sayeema Afrin

4. RATIFICATION OF REGRETS

Excuses or regrets will be kept track of by the chair of council. Missing two meetings in a row without sending excuses (that are approved) will result in the removal from Council.

4.1 MOTION COUNCIL 2019-02-27:01

David/Andrew

Be it resolved to ratify regrets from Alam Khehra and Noble Tan.

CARRIED

5. ADOPTION OF THE AGENDA

5.1 MOTION COUNCIL 2019-02-27:02

Simran/Logan

Be it resolved to adopt the agenda as presented.

CARRIED

6. MATTERS ARISING FROM THE MINUTES

6.1 MOTION COUNCIL 2019-02-27:03

Erika/Logan

Be it resolved to receive and file the following minutes:

- Council 2019-01-16.pdf

CARRIED

7. NEW BUSINESS

7.1 SOCA as a Constituent Group – MOTION COUNCIL 2019-02-27:04

Kasey/Logan

Whereas, SFU Students of Caribbean and African Ancestry (SOCA) raises issues relevant to the black community on campus;

Whereas, Council may recognize a Constituent Group within the University community and establish a new seat on Council for a Constituent Group representative;

Be it resolved that Council recognize SFU Students of Caribbean and African Ancestry (SOCA) within the University community and Council establish a new seat on Council where SOCA is a designated constituent group representative.

CARRIED

- Funding will be received as it typically would like any other club on campus

- A Board member noted that clubs don't affect the composition of Council as it is a rarity that a club would want to be a member of Council

7.1.1. MOTION COUNCIL 2019-02-27:04-01

Tara/Alvin

Be it resolved to vote for the above motion by ballot.

CARRIED

7.2 Service Protection Bylaw Referendum – MOTION COUNCIL 2019-02-27:05

Kasey/Tristan

Whereas current bylaws allow the Board of Directors to unilaterally close down a Service or program, henceforth called a Service, without any consultation with those affected by the closure, henceforth called a Stakeholder;

Be it resolved to approve the following question for the Spring 2019 election and referendum, pending legal review:

Do you agree to the creation of By-law 26 as written in "Appendix A"? Yes/No [see attached By-Law proposal)

CARRIED

- Consultations have not been conducted when closing down SFSS services and there is a lack of a notice to students upon closure of said services
 - The referendum will allow a framework for students to be consulted when a service is closed
- Re: Copy Centre Service Closure
 - The Copy Centre has been losing profits every year, even though revenues fluctuate, however, similar services can be offered elsewhere with similar prices. A referendum would allow students to be consulted without the Board deciding unilaterally
- It is noted that if students are not satisfied with the Directors or a Director, they can remove them; this referendum takes power away from the Directors
- Question was called

8. DISCUSSION ITEMS

8.1 Open Discussion

- Touring the Sub:
 - Women's Centre Collective representatives wants to tour the SUB. Anyone who wishes to tour the SUB must submit their name to the Chair, who will then notify the individuals when the contractor determines the site is safe for the group.
- Space bookings:
 - Business representative is inquiring about paying for space bookings as there have been inconsistencies with MECS fees due to labour expenses. Board may reach out to MECS on students' behalf to address the issues and the lack of quality services.
 - CSSS representative says that there is no need to pay for room through their department
 - It is noted that SFU catering services must be used when booking their space
 - ISSA is having difficulties booking space at the Harbour Centre due to the lack of

space and availability

9. ATTACHMENTS

- Bylaw - Service Protection.pdf

10. ADJOURNMENT

10.1 MOTION COUNCIL 2019-02-27:06

Andrew/Tristan

Be it resolved to adjourn the meeting at 5:50 PM.

CARRIED

Referendum Question:

Whereas current bylaws allow the Board of Directors to unilaterally close down a Service or program, henceforth called a Service, without any consultation with those affected by the closure, henceforth called a Stakeholder;

Do you agree to the creation of By-law 26 as written in "Appendix A"? (Yes/No)

Appendix A: Service Protection

[Creation of] By-Law 26: Service Protection

In order to close down a Service with an operating budget of greater than \$50,000 and having existed for more than three (3) years, the following process must be conducted:

- 1. All Stakeholders of the Service must be identified*
- 2. These Stakeholders must then be contacted about the Service being potentially closed*
 - a. Stakeholders must be allowed to easily access digital and physical copies of detailed information about the Service not lawfully required to be kept private,*
 - i. Detailed information includes but is not limited to:*
 - 1. Full financial data*
 - 2. Attempts to correct/improve the Service and their results*
 - 3. Rationale for wanting to close the Service*
 - 4. Other relevant information on the Service*
- 3. The Board must then consult with these Stakeholders over the course of at least one (1) year by*
 - a. Using all SFSS communication channels and in-person consulting options to obtain feedback on the effects the closure will have on the Stakeholders*
 - i. Communication channels include physical, digital, and social media*
 - ii. In-person consulting includes interviews, focus-groups, town halls, and other forms of direct consultation*
 - iii. At least one (1) Stakeholder-wide survey must be conducted per term*
 - iv. At least one (1) Stakeholder-wide in-person consulting must be conducted per month*
 - v. All forms of consultation must be accessible*
- 4. A consultation report must be produced and released to the membership*
 - a. The report must be complete with descriptions of the Stakeholders identified, the method(s) of consultation, and the summaries of feedback from each Stakeholder*
- 5. A question to close the Service must be put on the next scheduled referendum*
 - a. The question should be clearly worded with a simple yes/no vote*

Goal:

To restrict the board and/or admin from closing down large long running services or programs unilaterally. Instead, they must consult with stakeholders and make their case to the membership in a referendum. Basically, students are paying for this service, and they should be the ones to decide if it should be shut down. But to do that, they need detailed information on the positive and negative effects of closing that service or program down.

Ideally, this consultation process should be done independently to allow for a good thorough consultation process. But, just by making the closure process harder, that should encourage the board/admin to find workable solutions instead of closure.

Process Overview:

1. ID all Stakeholders of the Service/program/operation
 2. Board states their detailed rationale for closing the Service/program/operation to these Stakeholders
 3. Consult with them on the effects of the closure on said Stakeholders
 4. Release to the membership a report on the consultations that include the positive and negative aspects of the closure
 5. Put it up for referendum
-