1. CALL TO ORDER

Call to Order – 11:04 AM.

2. TERRITORIAL ACKNOWLEDGMENT

We respectfully acknowledge that the SFSS is located on the traditional, unceded territories of the Coast Salish peoples, including the xʷməθkʷəy̓əm (Musqueam), Skwxwú7mesh Úxwumixw (Squamish), Sel̓íl̓witulh (Tsleil-Waututh), k̓ʷik̓ʷəƛ̓əm (Kwikwetlem) and q̓ic̓əy̓ (Katzie) Nations. Unceded means that these territories have never been handed over, sold, or given up by these nations, and we are currently situated on occupied territories.

3. ROLL CALL OF ATTENDANCE

3.1 BIPOC Committee Composition
At-Large Representative (Co-Chair) ................................................................. Balqees Jama
VP Student Services (Co-Chair) ................................................................. Matthew Provost
Ex-Officio ................................................................................................. Osob Mohamed
Students of Caribbean and African Ancestry (SOCA) ........................... Monique Leslie
Student At-Large .................................................................................... Nimrit Basra
(she/they)
Student At-Large .................................................................................... Milan Franco Orosco
(he/she)
Student At-Large .................................................................................... Marie Haddad
Student At-Large .................................................................................... Flourish Adeogun
Student At-Large .................................................................................... Vacant
First Nations Students Association (FNSA) .............................................. Keianna James
Faculty Representative (Science) ............................................................ WeiChun Kua
Faculty Representative (Health Sciences) ................................................. Nafoni Modi
Faculty Representative (Environment) ..................................................... Anuki Karunajeewa
Council Representative ........................................................................ Vacant

3.1 Society Staff
Operations Organizer .............................................................................. Ayesha Khan
Board Organizer ..................................................................................... Gabriel Goodman

3.2 Guests
VP University Relations ........................................................................... Gabe Liosis

4. ADOPTION OF THE AGENDA

4.1 MOTION BIPOC-2021-03-29:01
Balqees/Nimrit

Be it resolved to adopt the agenda as presented.

CARRIED AS AMENDED UNANIMOUSLY

- Add Announcements Item 7.1 Anti-Racism Workshop Promotion
- Add Discussion Item 6.7 Concrete differences between BIPOC Committee and the Equity
& Sustainability Committee

- Add Announcement Item 7.2 COVID-19 SFSS Mutual Aid Funding Project
- Add Discussion Item 6.8 SFSS BIPOC Committee Community Guidelines

5. MATTERS ARISING FROM THE MINUTES

5.1 Black, Indigenous, and People Of Color Committee Minutes – MOTION COUNCIL 2021-03-29:02

WeiChun/Milan

*Be it resolved to receive and file the following minute(s):*

- BIPOC 2020-07-06
- BIPOC 2020-07-21
- BIPOC 2020-08-04
- BIPOC 2020-08-18
- BIPOC 2021-02-01

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

6. DISCUSSION ITEMS

6.1 SFU Athletic Team Name Update Regarding Consultation

- Student At-Large (MH) mentioned that the SFU Athletic Team Working Group is ongoing and originally were not planning on consulting SOCA, but after a discussion with the Director of the Athletic Department who recognized that consultation with FNSA and SOCA on this issue is very important, they would be consulting with SOCA and FNSA.
- Student At-Large (MH) inquired if BIPOC Committee were interested in being part of the consultation process. She mentioned that President, VP University Relations, and herself will also be consulted in the process.
- Student At-Large (NB) suggested that consultation should be left to SOCA and FNSA if they have capacity but mentioned that they are not against the BIPOC Committee consulting.
- SOCA Representative mentioned that SOCA agreed to consultation with the Working Group.
- Co-Chair (BJ) thanked Student At-Large (MH) for all her hard work and advocacy regarding the Athletic Team Name Update.

6.2 SFU VP People, Equity, Inclusion Development Update

- Co-Chair (BJ) mentioned initial concern about the candidate profile and lack of consultation with student groups. She added that students were not initially included in the scope of the job description.
- After pressure from the community, SFU consulted the SFU community as well as equity groups like SOCA, FNSA, DNA, SFPIRG, OOC, Women’s Centre, and others. Some of the recommendations made included an emphasis for the need of lived experience and other specific recommendations for the job description. Joy Johnson committed to changing the job description to include feedback from the Community Consultation.
- Co Chair (BJ) talked about how important the BIPOC committee is for SFSS advocacy related to BIPOC students.
- VP University Relations mentioned that, during a Board of Governors meeting, Joy
Johnson mentioned that the role profile has been changed but did not provide specifics.

6.3 Feedback on the Scarborough National Charter on Anti-Black Racism and Black Inclusion

- Co-Chair (BJ) mentioned that SFU President’s Office sent the Scarborough National Charter on Anti-Black Racism and Black Inclusion (which is the result of National Forums addressing equity in higher education for institutions to address anti-Black racism and Black inclusion) to the BIPOC Committee to ask for feedback. The President’s Office asked for input into any significant gaps in the Charter, areas of concern, or any other ways the Charter can be strengthened. Co-Chair (BJ) mentioned that she appreciated SFU consulting on this matter. She clarified that while SFU is not part of the Inter-Institutional Advisory Committee, SFU might be signing on to the Charter but follow-up regarding this is necessary.
- Faculty Representative (Science) inquired as to why SFU is not on the Inter-Institutional Advisory Committee.
- Co-Chair (BJ) stated that she felt the Charter was thorough, but that SFU should have allowed more time for consultation from the BIPOC Committee and reached out to SFU Black Caucus. She highlighted that the Charter references Caucuses so SFU should have known to consult.
- Co-Chair (BJ) mentioned that the Charter talks about actions and principles about empowerment which include Black Flourishing, Inclusive Excellence, Mutuality and Accountability. The Charter also details governance to ensure leadership on equity as well as research to commit to teaching and learning and community engagement with including the overall Black Community in the University’s mandate.
- Student At-Large (MH) mentioned that SFU Black Caucus must be consulted on this issue but that she does not have anything to add on to the Charter.
- Faculty Representative (Science) commended the Charter for laying out what institutions who signs on to this charter need to do as specific, tangible action items.
- SOCA Representative mentioned that SOCA was consulted and mentioned that they will respond to Joy Johnson. She agreed that it was comprehensive and voiced appreciation that it was sent to SOCA. SOCA Representative mentioned that she would have liked for it to be sent out earlier.
- Referencing the confusion regarding whether SFU Black Caucus had been contacted or not, Co-Chair (BJ) suggested there should be transparency about who is being consulted.
- Gabriel Goodman asked if a reminder in 6 months to the BIPOC Committee would be beneficial to confirm if SFU signs on to the Charter and asked what support was needed.
- VP Student Services suggested that a check-in would be a good reminder if a follow-up is needed. He suggested that a Call to Action to groups that have been contacted if a follow-up is needed.

6.4 At-Large Committee Members’ SFU Co-Curricular Record Recognition

- Co-Chair (MP) mentioned that he has been working on having At-Large Members and Representatives roles recognized on their Co-Curricular records. He stated that members will have to fill out a survey regarding their work on the Committees to have it recognized.

6.5 Student Wellness Group

- Co-Chair (MP) discussed a Bi-Weekly Working Group with Health and Counselling staff. He mentioned that this would be a good opportunity for Committee members to work on
BIPOC support groups. He mentioned that he will send updates regarding the working group which will continue into the next Board Term.

- Co-Chair (MP) highlighted the lack of mental wellness services within the SFSS and reliance on SFU Health and Counselling. He mentioned that if there is an interest, he might strike an ongoing standing committee to address this issue.

*8-minute break taken at 11:52 AM*

*Monique Leslie left the meeting at 12:00 PM*

### 6.6 SFSS Issues Policies Recommendations

- Co-Chair (BJ) mentioned that the SFSS will be establishing the Issues Policies in April 2021 and wanted BIPOC Committee input on the Anti-Racism Committee and general recommendations. She clarified that while the Board passes motions in support of stances, policies would ensure that motions to support a stance do not need to be passed annually.


- Co-Chair (MP) suggested an Issues Policies regarding Indigenous Governance with subsections regarding recognizing Indigenization within the SFSS and recognizing sovereignty and governance. He suggested including a Mandate to recognize operating jurisdiction of Indigenous Governing Bodies. This Issues Policy should lay out what it looks like for the SFSS to recognize Indigenous sovereignty, autonomy, and oral tradition as a form of law. It would allow the FNSA to perform their own form of traditional governance.

- Student At-Large (MH) reminded the Committee that Issues Policies should prioritize keeping marginalized people safe and not so much what views the SFSS should take on.

- Student At-Large (NB) suggested that the Issues Policies should cover the following points: culturally competent mental health services for students, environmental advocacy (including divestment), Indigenous sovereignty, discrimination on the basis of gender and Sexuality, trans/intersex/non-binary inclusivity, along with a Sex-Positive Mandate (i.e. advocating for the protection of sex workers, safety and consent, and research and resources).

- Faculty Representative (Science) suggested an Issues Policy on Hate Speech to have clear definitions regarding Hate Speech (i.e. hate speech vs free speech).

- Faculty Representative (Environment) suggested an Issues Policy regarding sexual violence and harassment with an emphasis on protecting the most marginalized and protecting minors.

- Co-Chair (BJ) mentioned that the goal of the 2020/2021 Board is to pass as many Issues Policies as possible within the timeline. She clarified that the Notice of Motions for these Issues Policies are due on April 9th and will be voted on at the April 23rd Board meeting. She mentioned that while not all ideas will have Issues Policies created, they can help guide related policies or can be continued by the 2021/2022 Board.

- Student At-Large (NB) suggested consulting the SVSPO and the Women’s Centre on relevant Issues Policies.

- Co-Chair (BJ) suggested an Issues Policy regarding BDS and Palestine recognition.

- Student At-Large (MH) voiced support for explaining systemic and individual racism in the Anti-Racism Policy.

- Co-Chair (MP) suggested defining overt and covert racism in the Anti-Racism Policy.
• Student At-Large (MFO) suggested including tone-policing BIPOC voices under the Anti-Racism Policy and referenced townhalls where there was tone-policing by attendees. The Anti-Racism Policy should acknowledge how to hold proper discussion on this topic.
• Student At-Large (MH) suggested discussing implicit biases and encourage groups to reflect on implicit biases in their social circles and discuss stereotypes. She also suggested including encouraging paid labour for BIPOC doing work related to BIPOC to avoid exploitation in the Anti-Racism Issue Policy.
• Student At-Large (NB) emphasized the need for clear policy so there is less chance for misinterpretation or misunderstandings.
• Student At-Large (NB) suggested an Issues Policy regarding safety in the classroom and on campus including discussing RCMP presence on campus.
• Co-Chair (BJ) suggested an Issues Policy concerning Equity and Empowerment including hiring practices.
• Faculty Representative (Science) suggested Mandate against funding, collaborating with, or advocating for companies and/or groups that violate human rights.
• Student At-Large (MFO) suggested an Issues Policy that would support activism outside SFU/SFSS.
• Student At-Large (NB) SFSS support BIPOC groups on campus and off campus that are doing advocacy work.
• Student At-Large (NB) mentioned that Issues Policies could remove contentiousness of the issue, it is a mandate therefore the SFSS must do it. This would simplify SFSS Council Meetings.
• Other possible Issues Policies mentioned included: De-escalation, Harm Reduction and Culturally Competent Supports, Orange Shirt Day, Policing, Anti-Racism and Anti-Oppression, Islamophobia, Xenophobia, Sinophobia, Anti-Semitism, and Meaningful Consultation.

* WeiChun Kua left the meeting at 12:30 PM*

6.7 Concrete differences between BIPOC Committee and the Equity & Sustainability Committee
• Co-Chair (MP) reiterated that the intention of the BIPOC Committee is for BIPOC voices to be heard and have a space within the SFSS and to serve as an institutional memory piece. He stated that having the BIPOC Committee act as a subcommittee to the Equity & Sustainability Committee would devalue BIPOC voices. C-Chair (MP) mentioned that because of the BIPOC Committee Terms of Reference, any changes need to be in consensus from FNSA and SOCA to ensure that BIPOC voices are being amplified and autonomy is not violated.
• Student At-Large (MH) reiterated that BIPOC voices should be protected and amplified and should have space. She mentioned that as she will be running the committee in the upcoming Board, her intention is to keep them separate.
• VP University Relations voiced support for keeping the two Committees separate. He clarified that, with respect to any Governance changes, the Standing Orders of both Committees should be clear as to what the differences are between them and the purpose of each of the. He mentioned that while the BIPOC Standing Order does not need change, the Sustainability & Equity Committee needs to be very clear. VP University Relations mentioned that he will consult with BIPOC committee to ensure that there is no conflict in the Standing Orders.
• Co-Chair (BJ) mentioned that Sustainability & Equity can cover a lot of different issues
but need to preserve the autonomy of the BIPOC Committee.

*Gabe Liosis left the meeting at 1:00PM*

6.8 SFSS BIPOC Committee Community Guidelines

- Student At-Large (MH) urged the need to make Community guidelines as intersectionalities can be confused and Committee members might end up debating their own oppressions. She clarified that she wants to make sure that everyone’s intersectionality is accounted for even if there are disagreements.
- Student At-Large (MH) shared Defund 604 values as an example of community guidelines.
- Co-Chair (MP) voiced support for creating Community Guidelines, which can be reviewed as needed by the Committee, and commented that this would allow for new members of the BIPOC Committee to and suggested that the current BIPOC Committee members could record the Community Guidelines video together to be played at the start of each meeting.
- Co-Chair (BJ) mentioned this would be more accessible than the Terms of Reference and commented that any ideas that come up in the creation of the Community Guidelines can be used to alter the Terms of Reference.

7. ANNOUNCEMENTS

7.1 Anti-Racism Workshop Promotion
- Saturday April 3rd at 3:00 PM on Anti-Indigenous Racism Education.

7.2 COVID-19 SFSS Mutual Aid Funding Project

8. ATTACHMENTS

8.1 Scarborough National Charter - ENG, Institutional Partners

9. ADJOURNMENT

9.1 MOTION BIPOC-2021-03-29:03

/  

Be it resolved to adjourn the meeting at 1:15PM

CARRIED/NOT CARRIED/CARRIED AS AMENDED

- Failed to meet quorum.
Scarborough National Charter on Anti-Black Racism and Black Inclusion in Canadian Higher Education: Principles, Actions, and Accountabilities

Date: 19 February 2021

Drafting Sub-Committee of the Inter-institutional Advisory Committee for the National Dialogues and Action for Inclusive Higher Education and Communities:

- Adelle Blackett, Canada Research Chair in Transnational Labour Law & Development, McGill University (Lead);
- Ananya Mukherjee Reed, Provost and Vice-President Academic, University of British Columbia, Okanagan Campus;
- Marie-Claude Rigaud, Special Advisor and Associate Secretary General, Equity, Diversity and Inclusion and Indigenous Relations, Université de Montréal;
- Barrington Walker, Associate Vice-President, Equity, Diversity and Inclusion, Wilfrid Laurier University

Drafting Support Team:

- Natalie Elisha, Equity Projects Specialist, University of Toronto
- Rena Prashad, Senior Project Strategist, University of Toronto Scarborough

Members of the Inter-Institutional Advisory Committee

- Maydianne Andrade, Professor & Special Advisor on Inclusive Recruitment & Equity Education, University of Toronto Scarborough
- Michael Charles, Assistant Vice-President and University Advisor, Carleton University,
- Mike DeGagne, President & Chief Executive Officer, INDSP ire
- Gervan Fearon, President and Vice-Chancellor, Brock University
- Kelly Hannah-Moffat, Vice-President, Human Resources & Equity, University of Toronto
- Karima Hashmani, Executive Director, Equity, Diversity and Inclusion, University of Toronto
- David Jang, Executive Director, Marketing and Communications, University of Toronto Scarborough
- Theresa Rajack-Talley, Vice Provost, Equity and Inclusion, Dalhousie University
- Malinda Smith, Vice- Provost, Equity, Diversity and Inclusion, University of Calgary
- Craig Stephenson, President & CEO, Centennial College,
- Robert Summerby-Murray, President and Vice-Chancellor, Saint Mary’s University
- Wisdom Tettey, Vice-President & Principal, University of Toronto Scarborough
- Annette Trimbee, President and Vice-Chancellor, MacEwan University
Preamble

Affirming the fundamental importance for universities and colleges – as institutions uniquely well placed to inspire deep learning in the search for truth, research excellence and community engagement for the benefit of world society - to take up the call to foster pan-Canadian communities of learning that build practices of ongoing dialogue and action yielding inclusion, substantive equality and societal transformation;

Acknowledging the distinct, complex historical legacy of Black people since the first known arrival of interpreter Mathieu da Costa in 1608, including the enslavement of Black people in Canada, Black contributions to the defence of British North America in the War of 1812, the presence of Black communities from the Loyalist Era through the Underground Railroad, Black settlement on the Prairies at the turn of the twentieth century, contributions of people of African descent to the diversification and strengthening of this country, and the on-going relationship of people of African descent to settler colonialism and the first caretakers of Turtle Island;

Considering the urgent reckoning with the persistence of anti-Black racism, the depths of Black underrepresentation, and the systemic loss of creative contribution compels bold, decisive action that fosters reparative justice;

Responding to the United Nations’ International Decade for Peoples of African Descent, from 2015 – 2024, which has been formally recognized by the government of Canada, alongside the Permanent Forum on Peoples of African Descent, which recognize the legacies of the centuries’ long, global institution of slavery and centre the themes of recognition, justice and development in the promotion and protection of the human rights of people of African descent;


Embracing the constitutional and quasi-constitutional frameworks for redressing systemic discrimination and promoting substantive equality that flow through the Canadian Charter of Rights and Freedoms, federal and provincial human rights codes and charters, and employment equity, pay equity and pay transparency legislation;

Appreciating the importance of the public policy ecosystem in which universities and colleges are situated, the historic relationship of universities and colleges with the communities in which they are embedded, locally, regionally, nationally and internationally, and the ethical responsibility of universities to contribute sustainably to cultural, social and economic life;

Acknowledging the role that institutions of higher education have played in constructing the bodies of knowledge about historically excluded groups and acknowledging the ethical responsibility to give voice to alternative ways of knowing while supporting community capacity building;
Respecting the historic significance of the Truth and Reconciliation Commission and the power of its calls to action to elicit transformative reflection and action in societies generally and universities and colleges specifically;

Saluting initiatives taken by select institutions of higher education to investigate their relationship to histories of enslavement and commit to reparative justice measures for the future;

Acknowledging the galvanizing role that generations of Black students have played in redressing anti-Black racism, decolonizing curricular offerings, and representing Black traditions of excellence in teaching and learning;

Noting the emergence of caucuses of Black faculty, librarians and staff across university and college campuses in Canada and the importance of supporting their distinct role in guiding the development and implementation of policies, plans and action within their universities and across universities on inclusive excellence;

Recognizing that to address anti-Black racism and support Black inclusion is a crucial part of promoting equality and an inclusive higher education for all;

Underscoring the need to move beyond the notion of equity seeking groups to recognize that equity is deserved, as an incarnation of the principles of human dignity, substantive equality, and reparative justice;

The Scarborough National Charter on Anti-Black Racism and Black Inclusion in Canadian Higher Education: Principles, Actions and Accountability (Scarborough National Charter) sets out the following principles, commitments to action and accountability measures that should guide the letter and spirit of governance at universities and colleges across Canada:

**Principles:**

Signatories of the Scarborough Charter commit to redressing anti-Black racism and fostering Black inclusion in universities and colleges across Canada.

The following four overarching principles should apply to any initiative to redress anti-Black racism and foster Black inclusion in our universities and colleges. The principles should guide the letter and spirit of all university and college policy making and action:

1. **Black Flourishing.** Universities and colleges are central to enabling the just, fulsome realization of human potential and thriving. They play a pivotal role in redressing anti-Black racism by removing structural barriers to equity, inclusion and social justice, while advancing the innovative research, critical thinking and engaged dissemination of knowledge that foster substantive equality, human dignity, and sustainability.

2. **Inclusive Excellence.** Inclusive excellence embodies the recognition that not only is post-secondary education enriched by equity, diversity and inclusion; equitable inclusion is critical to excellence. Excellence encompasses the ability of universities and colleges to educate and to innovate; to be alive to complexity and proactive in the face of crisis;

---

1 In the context of the Charter, governance applies to decision-making processes and structures at all levels of the institution.
to foster fundamental questioning through rigorous, respectful engagements across difference; and to enable societal transformation.

3. **Mutuality.** Universities and colleges are embedded in communities locally, as well as nationally, regionally and internationally. By recognizing a responsibility to foster mutuality, universities and colleges acknowledge the multiple social relations and modes of societal action upon which universities and colleges depend and for which they are accountable. The positive, interactive relationship with Black communities entailed by mutuality includes the special role universities and colleges can assume in Black community economic development, notably as anchor institutions and local employers.

4. **Accountability.** Accountability involves a commitment both to ongoing education - including self-education - and to courageous action built on deeply consultative processes. Accountability includes acknowledging, respecting, and acting responsively in the face of Black life, in its full complexity and intersectionality. Universities and colleges must move beyond mere representation and take responsibility for supporting fulsome, transformative inclusion across university and college structures. By signing the Scarborough National Charter, universities and colleges commit to a process of continuous improvement through ongoing, sustained implementing of the Scarborough National Charter’s principles-based commitments to action, and to be answerable for concrete outcomes, including to their respective Boards, communities and constituencies.

**Commitments to Action:**
Signatories of the Scarborough National Charter will be guided by each of the four principles as they embrace and implement the following concrete, non-exhaustive commitments to action, in letter and in spirit, and with full regard and respect for the rich tapestry of institutional contexts in which universities and colleges are situated. These principles-based commitments to action apply to governance, to research, to teaching and learning, and to community engagement.

1. Universities and Colleges commit to promoting **Black flourishing**
   1.1. in governance by
      1.1.1. ensuring that leadership on equity
         1.1.1.1. permeates governance structures across all university and college levels;
         1.1.1.2. is individually championed throughout senior administration as it progressively diversifies its own representation through mentorship, encouragement and sponsorship of Black faculty and staff;
         1.1.1.3. sustains inclusive, deliberative processes that acknowledge, redress and report on anti-Black racism;
         1.1.1.4. enlists faculty, staff and student associations as pivotal contributors to achieving equity, who also bear a responsibility to be inclusive and to work constructively with organizations of Black faculty, staff and students;
      1.1.2. reviewing and revising dispute resolution processes, including disciplinary measures and protections against reprisals, to secure their responsiveness to redressing anti-Black racism and promoting inclusive, balanced representation;
   1.2. in research by
1.2.1. engaging proactively - including externally with research bodies such as the tri-council of federal funding agencies - to redress Black underrepresentation and build equitable practices into research grant selection and research recognition processes;

1.2.2. building concrete research support practices, including mentorship and sponsorship, to encourage Black faculty thriving through the academic ranks and into positions of research leadership, accompanied by recognition practices for members of historically underrepresented communities bearing disproportionate burdens of the labour;

1.3. in teaching and learning by

1.3.1. constructing beautiful, accessible spaces and cultivating naming practices that foster Black belonging, knowledge development and sharing;

1.3.2. enabling, supporting and encouraging Black student leadership, insights, energy and actions throughout academic programs, curricular and co-curricular development and other aspects of university and college teaching, learning and student development;

1.4. in community engagement by

1.4.1. commissioning academically rigorous and community inclusive task forces to conduct independent studies of the histories of slavery, colonialism and racial injustice, with a mandate to include recommendations that promote inclusive higher education and community flourishing into the future;

1.4.2. supporting dedicated research, teaching and community engagement in keeping with the themes of the United Nations’ International Decade for Peoples of African Descent and its Permanent Forum.

2. Universities and colleges commit to fostering inclusive excellence

2.1. in governance by

2.1.1. identifying the extent of (under)representation through baseline data compilation and analysis, providing demographic knowledge of Black faculty, staff and students – with suitable disaggregation of data to reflect domestic and international student populations - within colleges and universities on recruitment at each university and college, as a starting point for action to foster inclusion;

2.1.2. establishing comprehensive strategies where gaps exist, including targets, timetables and transparency mechanisms, and providing sustained resource commitments to

2.1.2.1. recruit and retain Black professors, wherever feasible in cluster hires across academic disciplines;

2.1.2.2. recruit and retain Black staff across university and college units;

2.1.2.3. recruit Black students and support their successful program completion;

2.1.2.4. identify and redress wage discrimination and occupational segregation;

2.2. in research by

2.2.1. recognizing, engaging critically with and celebrating traditions of Black intellectual excellence across the academy, including by supporting research clusters that enable plural communities of knowledge to flourish;

2.2.2. increasing equitable and inclusive participation by Black academics in research teams, research chairs and fellowships, university-wide visiting keynote lectureships and other forms of research recognition that celebrate excellence;

2.3. in teaching and learning by

2.3.1. encouraging the emergence of Black and Black Canadian studies programs while promoting curricular development across academic disciplines that decentres epistemic Eurocentrism, that holds open space for expansive, world-inspired learning that broadens disciplinary canons to include Black expertise and knowledges;
2.3.2. providing scholarships, bursaries, fellowships and related, dedicated support including increased research opportunities, mentorship and alumni engagement to support
2.3.2.1. Black admission to universities and colleges;
2.3.2.2. Black enrollment in graduate and post-doctoral studies;
2.3.2.3. Black thriving through to program completion;
2.4. in community engagement by
2.4.1. developing pathways for access to higher education for Black students, including promoting student outreach with local, regional, national and international communities and providing robust “wrap-around” support;
2.4.2. building mechanisms for ongoing outreach and sponsors for broader Black communities, including career advisors, advancement staff, and alumni coaches that include representation of Black communities.

3. Universities and colleges commit to enabling mutuality
3.1. In governance by
3.1.1. reassessing the existing campus security and safety infrastructure and protocols with a view to protecting the human dignity, equality and safety of Black people on campus;
3.1.2. undertaking periodic climate surveys that consider local community relations, to assess and guide initiatives to build inclusive campuses;
3.2. In research by
3.2.1. confirming that research about Black communities or that is likely to affect the welfare of Black communities respects principles of co-construction, including sustained outreach to relevant communities on inputs and the interpretation of research results;
3.2.2. collaborating on the development of tri-council guidelines on research affecting Black communities [in Canada], fostering community-engaged research principles fostering representation of Black community members and respect for their lived experiences;
3.3. in teaching and learning by
3.3.1. building grade school through university and college outreach programs;
3.3.2. adopting policies, educational sessions and practices of inclusion that sustain harassment-free classrooms and other learning environments in which rigorous study can occur;
3.4. in community engagement by
3.4.1. requiring Black community prior-impact assessments as part of procurement processes, to move equity initiatives away from risk mitigation toward proactive, sustainable opportunity creation and integrating Black community impact assessments into any restructuring initiatives;
3.4.2. building robust community partnerships with Black-led organizations.

4. Universities and Colleges commit to ensuring accountability
4.1. in governance by
4.1.1. establishing ethical data [co-creation and] governance practices, notably by
4.1.1.1. confirming that the collection of data is undertaken as a means to foster inclusive, just, equitable post-secondary environments that are enabled by rigorous, evidence-based decision-making;
4.1.1.2. exercising caution in the face of the systemic inequalities and racial biases that may be perpetuated within artificial intelligence big data, surveillance and other technological advances, while deepening the understanding of technological inequities;
4.1.1.3. mapping, retrieving, maintaining and to the extent permitted by law sharing reliable, disaggregated data on Black representation and with Statistics Canada and other relevant external institutions over defined periods of time;
4.1.1.4. benchmarking data to enable comparisons over time and between signatories to the Scarborough National Charter and that promote transparency about the reasons that any identified categories of data may not be collected;
4.1.2. establishing a suitably staffed office in senior administration dedicated in whole or in part to redressing racism across the university and college with responsibility for academic affairs, human resources and student life and learning, led by a senior administrator, wherever possible reporting to the university or college president or principal;
4.2. in research by
4.2.1. collecting specific data on the representation of Black researchers on research teams, in research grant awards, in research chairs and fellowships;
4.2.2. building robust reporting mechanisms and reward systems that assess and recognize research contributions to Black flourishing, inclusive excellence and mutuality;
4.3. in teaching and learning by
4.3.1. providing anti-Black racism education for all members of the university or college while developing performance expectations for faculty and staff that build capacity on anti-racism and Black inclusion;
4.3.2. building robust reporting mechanisms that assess and recognize teaching and learning contributions to Black flourishing, inclusive excellence and mutuality;
4.4. in community engagement by
4.4.1. enabling and reporting on co-creation in the data collection process by communities most concerned;
4.4.2. retaining contact with professional orders and related regulatory bodies where they exist (including doctors, dentists, nurses, engineers, lawyers, social workers, teachers) to be able to assess the admission and career progression of Black university and college graduates.

Accountability

1. Scarborough Inter-Institutional Consortium on Inclusive Higher Education.
   1.2. Partner institutions of the National Dialogues and Action for Inclusive Higher Education and Communities are the founding members of the National Consortium.
   1.3. The National Consortium will be convened at the University of Toronto – Scarborough, where archives of the University of Toronto National Dialogues and Action for Inclusive Higher Education and Communities, the Scarborough National Charter and the National Consortium will be housed and preserved.
   1.4. All universities and colleges in Canada, including members of the CÉGEP system in Québec, may apply to join the National Consortium, by committing to implement the Scarborough National Charter.

2. Commitments.
2.1. Member institutions undertake to make the Scarborough National Charter a core resource guiding policy creation and implementation for decisions and actions, across university and college governance, in research, teaching and learning, and in community engagement.

2.2. Member institutions commit to adopting accountability mechanisms to sustain stable, long-term and enduring inclusion, while cultivating a culture of accountability that extends beyond reporting exercises to embody the spirit of the guiding principles of the Scarborough National Charter.

2.3. Member institutions commit to fostering communities of learning to galvanize continuous improvement on inclusion, within the National Consortium.

2.4. Member institutions will commit the requisite financial and human resources to the National Consortium to enable the Scarborough National Charter to be fully implemented.

2.5. Member institutions commit to sharing data, to the extent permitted by law, with each other, within the National Consortium and under the guidance of the Inter-institutional Steering Committee on Inclusive Higher Education.

3. **Inter-institutional Steering Committee on Inclusive Higher Education.**

3.1. The Inter-institutional Steering Committee on Inclusive Higher Education (Inter-institutional Steering Committee) is the steering committee for the Inter-university Consortium.

3.2. The Inter-institutional Steering Committee will assume responsibility for

3.2.1. determining membership in the National Consortium;

3.2.2. encouraging the development of communities of practice within the National Consortium, where good practices are shared and on-going learning is supported;

3.2.3. fostering implementation and accountability by member institutions of the Scarborough National Charter, including through

3.2.3.1. data collection from member institutions and the encouragement of consistency in data governance and data sharing;

3.2.3.2. periodic reporting, both within the National Consortium and publicly;

3.2.3.3. commissioning studies and otherwise serving as a clearinghouse for the sharing of core knowledge on redressing anti-Black racism and promoting Black inclusion by fostering [Black] [human] flourishing, inclusive excellence, mutuality and accountability; and

3.2.4. interfacing with relevant governments, institutions, organizations, communities and other actors on behalf of the National Consortium to secure implementation of the Scarborough National Charter.

3.3. The Inter-institutional Steering Committee’s founding membership comprises the current membership of the Inter-institutional Advisory Committee for the National Dialogues and Action for Inclusive Higher Education and Communities.

3.4. Membership terms range from 2 – 4 years, and will be renewed on a staggered basis.

3.5. Within its first year of operation, the Inter-institutional Steering Committee will establish terms of reference to govern its actions.

3.6. The Inter-institutional Steering Committee will establish and periodically review the schedule of [recommended] contributions for member institutions.

4. **Permanent Forum for National Dialogues and Action.**

4.1. Member institutions commit to establishing a permanent, rotating forum, which will convene on a [biennial][annual] basis to
4.1.1. share insights and good practices on the principles-based commitments, foregrounding one or more principle and related action per forum;  
4.1.2. strengthen the inter-institutional communities of practice; and  
4.1.3. discuss reports on progress on implementation of the Scarborough National Charter.  
4.2. Rotations by host institutions will show due regard for bilingualism, regional diversity, and the representation of universities and colleges in the Inter-institutional consortium.