

1. CALL TO ORDER

Call to Order – 9:00 PM

2. TERRITORIAL ACKNOWLEDGMENT

We respectfully acknowledge that the SFSS is located on the traditional, unceded territories of the Coast Salish peoples, including the x^wməθk^wəyəm (Musqueam), Skwxwú7mesh Úxwumixw (Squamish), Selííwítulh (Tsleil-Waututh), k^wik^wəłəm (Kwkwetlem) and qícəy (Katzie) Nations. Unceded means that these territories have never been handed over, sold, or given up by these nations, and we are currently situated on occupied territories.

3. ROLL CALL OF ATTENDANCE

3.1 Oversight Committee on Executive Officers Composition

Mathematics Councillor (Chair)	Ben Tischler
Ex-Officio	Helen Sofia Pahou
Cognitive Science Councillor (Vice-Chair)	Aaron Fung
Communications Councillor	Alan Ropke
English Councillor	Gabe Liosis
Film Councillor	Riordan Huenemann
History Councillor	Matthew Reed
Women's Centre Collective Councillor	Nim Basra

3.2 Society Staff

Board Organizer	Emmanuela Droko
Operations Organizer	Ayesha Khan
Policy, Research, Community Affairs Coordinator	Beatrice Omboga
Administrative Assistant	Simar Thukral

3.3 Absents

Ex-Officio	Helen Sofia Pahou
------------------	-------------------

4. CONSENT AGENDA

4.1 CONSENT AGENDA

Be it resolved to adopt the consent agenda by unanimous consent.

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

5. ADOPTION OF THE AGENDA

5.1 MOTION OCEO 2022-06-15:01

Aaron / Gabe

Be it resolved to adopt the agenda as presented.

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

6. IN-CAMERA

6.1 MOTION OCEO 2022-06-15:02

Aaron / Riordan

Be it resolved to go in-camera for the remainder of the meeting.

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

- Discussion about recent email sent by Member of the Executive Committee.

7. EX-CAMERA

7.1 MOTION OCEO 2022-06-15:03

Aaron / Nim

Be it resolved to go ex-camera.

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

8. NEW BUSINESS

8.1 Investigation Recommendation – MOTION OCEO 2022-06-15:04

SUBMITTED BY:

ATTACHMENT:

Aaron / Riordan

Whereas an email was sent by a member of the executive committee to the entire executive committee and a number of SFU Stakeholders external to the SFSS at 1:57PM on June 14th, 2022;

Whereas concerns have been raised by member(s) of the OCEO that said email could potentially give rise to violation(s) of Rule 5 and Rule 9 of Council Policies, as it appears that a topic discussed in-camera at the executive committee meeting on the same day was discussed within the email;

Whereas the CCBC, as a committee solely tasked with investigating breaches of confidence, is a more appropriate committee to investigate this issue;

Be it resolved that the OCEO recommend to the CCBC to immediately begin an investigation into the above-mentioned email sent by a member of the executive committee.

CARRIED

In Favor (4): Cognitive Science, Communications, Film, and Mathematics.

Against (3): English, History, and Women Centre Collective.

Abstentions (0)

8.2 Move to postpone 8.1 – MOTION OCEO 2022-06-15:05

SUBMITTED BY: English Councillor “Gabe Liosis”

Gabe / Matthew

NOT CARRIED

In Favor (3): English, History, and Women Centre Collective.

Against (4): Cognitive Science, Communications, Film, and Mathematics.

Abstentions (0)

- English Councillor moved to postpone this motion to next council meeting to get more research done on the motion until next council meeting.
- Mathematics Councillor strongly opposed this course of action on the motion. They expressed that they do not think it is appropriate based on the urgency of the matter discussed and they think that CCBC does present more applicable venue to discuss this matter and they would strongly encourage a “no” vote on this motion.
- Women Centre Councillor mentioned that as an OCEO they feel that they have a role to play in making sure that this motion is backed up by them and also that they can play a role as a committee before this goes forward to the CCBC.
- In response to WC Centre Councillor, Mathematics Councillor expressed that while in normal circumstance they would agree that the OCEO has a role to play. But, they do not believe that these circumstances are like that and that is why they would be voting in favor of this motion to recommend it to CCBC as per the urgency of the matter.
- English Councillor mentioned that they feel the OCEO currently is relying on speculations too much and they suggested to either postpone the motion for a few days to get a little more information. They expressed that they do not agree with passing this motion with the current information that they have.
- History Councillor in reference to the previous OCEO meeting expressed that everyone where opposing the idea of looking into the investigation of one of the executive members. They feel they do not find this situation any different and mentioned that to go after something without having any concrete information seems a little bit off.
- Cognitive Science Councillor expressed that the recommendation for the investigation by the CCBC is merely to look into the breaches of confidentiality and if nothing serious happened then everything is good. They feel that the CCBC has more ability to do so than the Oversight Committee.
- Film Student Union expressed that forwarding this to a group that has better resources and have more experience with this sort of investigations does not have any downside in doing that. They mentioned that they do see potential issues in going too slowly unlike with the reference that History Councillor provided from the previous OCEO meeting which was not an urgent issue.
- In response to History Councillor regarding what actions can CCBC take after the investigation if they find some information, Mathematics Councillor mentioned that ones

the CCBC receives a recommendation from the OCEO, they can start requesting specific documents from councillors and members of the executive committee relating to the topic being currently discussed. They can compel members of executive committee or of council to answer either written questions or provide testimony to investigate any matter within the CCBC mandate. And, if they elected not to provide such answers, then they can be censured for that. At the conclusion of the CCBC investigation very similar to the OCEO investigation, there are three things that they can recommend. CCBC can recommend council pass a censure resolution against the councillor, they can recommend that the council request the resignation of the councillor, or they can recommend that Council initiate impeachment proceedings to remove a member of council from office.

- Communications councillor expressed that the OCEO does not have as much of resources as the CCBC and they feel 24 hours or two days would not change the decision. So, they strongly recommend to pass the motion.
- Mathematics Councillor expressed that the CCBC has greater resources to look into this matter. CCBC was the committee specifically designed for this purpose and they feel it is more than appropriate to pass this motion today.
- Cognitive Science councillor mentioned that recommending an investigation is an initial stage to begin with the investigation. It does not necessarily mean that someone has done something wrong. It is just looking into it. They expressed that if this motion is not passed then the CCBC who has the resources to investigation and look into this would not be able to do so.

9. DISCUSSION ITEMS

9.1 Executive Communication

- History Councillor expressed that it is concerning that the member of the executive committee felt that they needed to loop everyone in. As they observed, they feel that there are some notable issues with the email that was sent. The fact that an executive officer felt that they needed to send an email at all is an issue that does not seem to be going away and they mentioned that this has happened on several occasions. They expressed that regardless of the fact that there might have been some breach involved, it is still noteworthy to stay that this is not the first time and they hope that more efforts are taken by the executive officers to try and foster better relationships with one another.
- Mathematics Councillor expressed that they do not feel that it is great that an executive felt the need to do this and the way it came across to them according to the time stamps of the email, seems that this petition for the meeting was signed by the appropriate amount of people and was given proper notice to the executive committee to discuss this item.
- Women Centre Councillor expressed that within the email there are notes made about how there has been meetings behind closed doors and they feel that communication and transparency go hand in hand in this case. They mentioned that they have heard

from multiple councillors that the remarks made by executive for one another in meetings makes environment very uncomfortable. Also, that the lack of communication is distracting folks from the work council needs to do.

10. ADJOURNMENT

10.1 MOTION OCEO 2022-06-15:06

Matthew / Aaron

Be it resolved to adjourn the meeting at 9:50 PM

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY