

1. CALL TO ORDER

Call to Order – 4:35 PM

2. TERRITORIAL ACKNOWLEDGMENT

We respectfully acknowledge that the SFSS is located on the traditional, unceded territories of the Coast Salish peoples, including the x^wməθk^wəy̓əm (Musqueam), Sk̓wx̓wú7mesh Úxwumixw (Squamish), Sel̓ílwitulh (Tsleil-Waututh), k^wik^wəł̓əm (Kwkwetlem) and q̓icəy̓ (Katzie) Nations. Unceded means that these territories have never been handed over, sold, or given up by these nations, and we are currently situated on occupied territories.

3. ROLL CALL OF ATTENDANCE

3.1 Council Composition

Student Union Representatives

Applied Science.....	Vacant
Archeology.....	Damon Tarrant
Art, Performance, and Cinema Studies	Vacant
Asia Canada Studies	Vacant
Bachelor of Environment	Tiana Andjelic
Behavioral Neuroscience	Aarthi Srinivasan
Biology.....	Nicolas Bonilla
Biomedical Physiology & Kinesiology	Gurleen Grewal
Business.....	Vacant
Chemistry.....	Vacant
Cognitive Science	Aaron Fung
Communications.....	Alan Ropke
Computing Science	Shariq Ahsan
Criminology.....	Ava Wood

Dance.....	Vacant
Data Science Student Union.....	Vadym Shakhraichuk
Earth Science	Eden Lien
Economics.....	Rafid Rahman
Education.....	Christine Yoo
Engineering Science	Liam Feng
English Councillor.....	Gabe Liosis
Environmental Science.....	Chloe Homenuke
Faculty of Applied Science	Vacant
Faculty of Communications, Arts and Technology	Rastko Koprivica
Faculty of Environment Event	Vacant
Film Student Union	Rio Huenemann
Fine and Performing Arts	Vacant
French.....	Ethan Dungey
Gender, Sexuality, and Women’s Studies.....	Simran Basra
Geography	Natasha Kearns
Gerontology Student Union	Vacant
Global Asia Studies Student Union	Vacant
Health Science	Priyanka Dhesa
History	Matthew Reed
Humanities.....	Vacant
Indigenous Studies Student Union	Anita Shen
Interactive Arts and Technology	Jung-yeon Lee
International Studies Student Association	Sude Guvendik
Labour Studies	Vacant
Latin American Studies	Vacant
Linguistics	Alev Maleki
Mathematics.....	Ben Tischler
Mechatronics System Engineering	Ryley McWilliams
Molecular Biology & Biochemistry	Vacant

Music Student Union	Vacant
Operations Research.....	Vacant
Performing Arts	Vacant
Philosophy	Ashley Flett
Physics	Daniel Alder
Political Science	Brydan Denis
Psychology	Mark Giles
Public Policy.....	Vacant
Science Undergraduate Society	Ayooluwa Adigun
Semester in Dialogue	Vacant
Society of Arts and Social Sciences.....	Hilary Tsui
Sociology and Anthropology	Arthur Lee
Software Systems	Gurmehar Singh
Statistics and Actuarial Science (SASSA).....	David Tael Ahn
Sustainable Community Development.....	Vacant
Sustainable Energy Engineering Student Society	Mohammad Al-Sheboul
Theatre Student Union	Vacant
Visual Arts.....	Vacant
World Languages and Literature	Raghava Payment

Constituency Group Representatives

Disability and Neurodiversity Alliance.....	Vivian Ly
First Nations, Métis & Inuit Student Association.....	Keianna James
International Student Advocates	Vacant
Out on Campus Collective	Vacant
Students of Caribbean & African Ancestry	Linda Chobang
Women Centre Collective	Nim Basra

Affiliated Student Groups

Residence Hall’s Association (RHA).....	Emmanuel Adegboyega
---	---------------------

Student Athlete Advisory Committee (SAAC)..... Vacant

SFSS Executive Committee

President (Chair) Helen Sofia Pahou
VP Internal and Organizational Development
VP Finance and Services..... Abhishek Parmar
VP University and Academic Affairs Nicole Kirigin
VP External and Community Affairs Eshana Baran
VP Equity and Sustainability..... Rea Chatterjee
VP Events and Student Affairs..... Vaibhav Arora

3.2 Society Staff

Operations Organizer..... Ayesha Khan
Board Organizer Emmanuela Droko
Policy, Research, Community Affairs Coordinator..... Beaty Omboga
Administrative Assistant Simar Thukral
Building Manager..... John Walsh

3.3 Regrets

English Councillor..... Gabe Liosis
Linguistics Alev Maleki
VP University and Academic Affairs Nicole Kirigin

3.4 Guests

Graduate Student Society Executive advocate..... Harjap

3.5 Absents

Behavioural Neuroscience Student Society..... Aarthi Srinivasan
Criminology Student Association Ava Wood
Environmental Science Student Union..... Chloe Homenuke

Health Science Undergraduate Student Union..... Priyanka Dhesa
International Studies Student Association Sude Guvendik
Psychology Student Union Mark Giles
First Nations, Métis & Inuit Student Association..... Keianna James

4. CONSENT AGENDA

4.1 CONSENT AGENDA

Be it resolved to adopt the consent agenda by unanimous consent.

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

4.1.1. MATTERS ARISING FROM THE MINUTES-Council Minutes- MOTION COUNCIL 2022-06-22:01

Be it resolved to receive and file the following Council minutes:

- Council 2022-06-08

4.1.2. MATTERS ARISING FROM THE MINUTES-Committee Minutes- MOTION COUNCIL 2022-06-22:02

Be it resolved to receive and file the following Committee minutes:

- AC 2022-02-17
- EXEC 2022-04-19
- EXEC 2022-06-03
- GOV 2022-03-15
- GOV 2022-05-23
- OCEO 2022-06-09
- OCEO 2022-06-15
- ECAC 2022-06-14

4.1.3. RATIFICATION OF REGRETS-MOTION COUNCIL 2022-06-22:03 SUBMITTED BY: President “Helen Sofia Pahou”

Be it resolved to ratify the regrets from English Councillor “Gabe Liosis” due to a work event, Linguistic Councillor “Alev Maleki” due to their exam, and VP University and Academic Affairs “Nicole Kirigin” due to personal health

reasons.

5. ADOPTION OF THE AGENDA

5.1 MOTION COUNCIL 2022-06-22:04

VP Internal / Ethan

Be it resolved to adopt the agenda as presented.

CARRIED AS AMENDED

- President moved to include regrets from Physics Councilor “Daniel Alder” and regrets from VP University and Academic Affairs “Nicole Kirigin” in 4.1.3.
- VP External moved to include discussion item 9.3.
- VP Internal moved to postpone 10.2 to next Council meeting.

6. PRESENTATION

6.1 Budget 2023 Consultation Process

SUBMITTED BY: VP External and Community Affairs “Eshana Baran”

ATTACHMENT:

- VP External expressed that they participated by doing a written presentation for submission and an oral presentation.
- Recommendation included - Increasing financial access to post-secondary education by implementing a tuition freeze by 2023 and providing grants, scholarships, bursaries, and subsidies for BIPOC, migrant/international and low-income students aimed toward affordable post-secondary education and housing.

Providing expanded accessibility and health services including dedicated funding towards equitable academic accommodations, counselling and other mental health services, and physical and technical infrastructure for universal design in the campus environment and in the online and in-person classroom.

Providing rent subsidies and affordable, accessible and pet-friendly housing options for post-secondary students with strong student renters protections on and off campus.

- Some of the concerns that the finance committee were interested in were - concerns surrounding high rates of rent, funding for SA Survivors, Institutional change for Universal Design.

6.2 Labour Notes Conference

SUBMITTED BY: VP External and Community Affairs “Eshana Baran”

ATTACHMENT:

- Biggest gathering out there of grassroots union activists, worker center leaders, and all-around troublemakers.
- Throughout the weekend, they joined over 4,000 union members, officers, and labor activists who are on the front line in workplaces, communities, organizing new workers and agitating together.
- The President attended – A collective response to divisions in the workplace, undergraduate student organizing, effective meeting facilitation, and taking on two-tiers on Day 1.
- The President attended Secrets of a Successful Organizer: Assembling your dream together, let’s get together, and team Canada meeting on Day 2.
- The President on Day 3 attended Making Meetings Better workshop.
- The VP Equity attended Anti-Racism training in our unions: Lessons and Challenges, Undergraduate Student Organizing, Organizing for the Long-Haul: An intergenerational Panel, 7 Minutes : A play, Say their name, Messaging your power, Juneteenth Celebration, Undergraduate Student Workers and Organizers Meetings, Great Labour Arts Exchange Song, Poem, and Hip-Hop Contest.
- Strategizing a Campaign; Turning an Issue into a Campaign
- VP External attended Anti-Racism Trainings in Our Unions: Lessons and Challenges, Undergraduate Student Organizing, Campus Debt: Pushing Back and Reclaiming Our Colleges and Universities, 7 Minutes: A play, and Great Labour Arts Exchange Song, Poem, and Hip-Hop Contest on Day 1.
- VP External attended Beyond Saying Her Name, Messaging your Power, Juneteenth Celebration, Undergraduate Student Workers Meeting, Great Labour Arts Exchange Song, Poem, and Hip-Hop Contest on Day 2.

- On Day 3, the VP External attended Strategizing a Campaign workshop.

6.3 SFU Bridging for Future Initiative

PRESENTED BY: Sociology and Anthropology “Arthur Lee”

ATTACHMENTS: SFU Bridging for Future Initiative.pdf

- Provide SFSS with a tool kit to respond in the event of a major civil / international conflict.
- Reduce the possibility alienating students within the SFU Community from possible response by the SFSS Council.
- Create a direct line of communication between students, SFSS Council, and the University.
- In the Context of Ukraine conflict, we can better understand how we can provide more efficient services for the students.
- On February 2022, Russia initiated an unprovoked “special operation” on Ukraine.
- Public perception of situation affecting some students at SFU are now limited due to low reporting online – but it is still ongoing.
- They have interacted with students, community members within SFU who are directly impacted by the situation because they were unable to help their families from far due to national borders.
- What we can do for it is –
Communicating with the University, Student Body Consultation, Support Implementation.

7. REPORTS FROM COMMITTEES

7.1 Executive Committee Report

- **President -**

Updated on changes and expectations within our administrative department, met with FIC and VP Finance on SFSS fees, attended working conditions meeting and worked on matter around Union work, streamlined academic advocacy plans, contributed in creation of a semesterly SFSS Undergraduate survey, building Inspection, administrative task and attended the labour notes conference.

- **VP Internal and Organizational Development -**

Worked on orientation feedback form, contributed towards executive retreat planning, SFU orientation (about directors and their role), staff onboarding, archived policy review, worked on Policy review, attended and participated in sponsorship meetings, Vice Chair Check-in, gaming lounge walkthrough, backup development session, SFSS Annual Undergrad survey, staff meeting and WG, Governance Committee meetings, worked on updates for committee trackers, worked on scheduling members meeting planning committee, staff Check-in's, Council agenda planning, CCBC Meeting, worked on the notice of motion and recommendation to council, and contributed for Robert's Rules Interactive Workshop.

- **VP Finance and Services –**

Attended and participated in SFU orientation meeting, have SFU AVP Finance search committee on schedule, Governance committee meeting inclusive of the policy review, overseeing insurance review and renewal, met with groups about their funding, attended and participated in staff meeting, contributed for the welcome orientation for CMNS assistant Steven, and have been working on administrative tasks such as email, signing bank requisitions, cheque requisitions, and payroll.

- **VP External and Community Affairs –**

SFU Orientation with Erin Biddlecombe, have been working on tuition freeze now survey and met with TFN, met with Minister Anne Kang, attended Burnaby board of trade AGM, met with Migrant Student United, met with Mayor Hurley, attended and participated in emergency executive meeting, met with MLA Lisa Beare, met with Burnaby Fire Chief, attended and contributed towards ECA Committee meetings, met with Alison Stanton from SFU Health Promotion, met with Gender Neutral Washrooms in SFU, attended the labour notes conference, have been planning for a blood drive with a club on campus, have also been preparing for the international students health fee town hall, wrote the

indigenous people's day statement.

- **VP Equity and Sustainability** –

Met with Erin Biddlecombe to learn more about SFU structure, attended tuition freeze now meeting, working on advocacy plan and survey, met with Anne Kang, attended the first External Affairs Committee meeting, met with student services director, met with Alison from SFU Health Promotion, preparing indigenous peoples day statement, OOC Pride check-in, and attended the labour notes conference.

- **VP Events and Student Affairs** -

Summer fest in Burnaby, SUB walkthrough with e-sports, Fall Street fest, movie night planned for July, met with Brian Jessel BMW and Surrey Mitsubishi for sponsorship, discussed concerns regarding ageism with SFU students and have been working to plan event to address the same, blood drive for fall and spring, attended and participated in emergency executive meeting, club's settlement for grants and setting up ESAC and MSAC.

7.2 CCBC Report

- The President mentioned that CCBC has received an urgent recommendation from the oversight committee on executive officers on June 15th, 2022 and CCBC has met on June 16th to consider the validity and the recommendation of the investigation.

CCBC has deemed that an investigation is warranted into the matters pertaining to the OCEO recommendation. CCBC past three motions on June 16th to begin an investigation into three separate instances of potential breach or breaches of confidence. The investigations that are currently pending are the following -

CCBC will be investigating matters pertaining to the OCEO recommendation over an email sent out by an executive officer with potential violations of R5 and R9 Council policies, CCBC will also began investigation into an anonymous leak of potentially confidential documentation to council via email in the 2022-2023 Council year, CCBC

has been made aware that numerous members outside of the current executive committee had access to this potentially confidential documentation, lastly, CCBC has also been made aware of and has been provided with potential evidence in regards to severe allegations of breaches of confidence in the 2021-2022 Council year.

- CCBC in relation to its terms of reference has opened up investigations into these matters. Council members may be requested to testify or provide evidence in front of the committee. Not appearing before the committee or lying to the committee will result in a censure being brought to council for the offending member. Individuals requested to appear in front of the committee will be notified via their council emails.
- CCBC also reserves the right to call non-council members to the committee, as established by past precedents. It is not mandatory for non-council members to appear. It is, however, mandatory for current Council members to appear before the committee.

8. NEW BUSINESS

8.1 Suspension of Policy PM-1.2 – MOTION COUNCIL 2022-06-22:05

SUBMITTED BY: VP Internal and Organizational Development

ATTACHMENT: Time-Sensitive R-9 and SO-22 Changes.pdf

VP Internal / Ethan

Whereas PM-1.2 sets out rules on how policy is notified and changed;

Whereas a time sensitive situation has come up surrounding the amendment of old policies, to allow for CCBC to Continue its work expeditiously;

Be it resolved that Council suspend PM-1.2 to take up the motion listed in the document titled “Time-Sensitive R-9 and SO-22 Changes”.

CARRIED

In Favour (35): Archaeology Student Society, Bachelor of Environment Student Union, Behavioural Neuroscience Student Society, Biology Student Union, Biomedical Physiology and Kinesiology Student Association, Cognitive Science Student Association, Communications Student Union, Criminology Student Association, Dance Student Union, Earth Science Student Union, Economics

Student Society, Education Student Association, Engineering Science Student Society, Faculty of Communication, Art and Technology, Film Student Union, French Student Union, GSWSS, Geography Student Union, IAT, Mathematics Student Union, Philosophy Student Union, Psychology Student Union, Society of Arts and Social Science, Sociology and Anthropology Student Union, Software System Student Society, Statistics and Actuarial Science Student Association, Sustainable Energy Engineering Student Society, World Languages and Literature Student Union, Students of Caribbean & African Ancestry, Residence Hall's Association, President, VP Internal and Organizational Development, VP Finance and Services, VP Events and Student Affairs.

Against (8): Computing Science Student Society, History Student Union, Indigenous Studies Student Union, Mechatronic Systems Engineering Student Society, Disability and Neurodiversity Alliance, Women Centre Collective, VP External and Community Affairs, VP Equity and Sustainability.

- VP Internal expressed that this suspension has been done prior in the last board in March. This allows for them to suspend the rule and not have to go for the two week notice on the society website and through council. Time is of the essence, so at this point in time they urged council to vote in favour of this motion.

8.2 Time-sensitive motion to amend R-9 and SO-22 - MOTION COUNCIL 2022-06-22:06

SUBMITTED BY: President "Helen Sofia Pahou"

VP Internal / Ethan

Whereas Governance committee on June 20th recommended Council to adopt amendments to our R-9 and SO-22 via suspension of PM1.2(d) at a future council meeting;

Whereas this policy is being recommended to be passed under a suspension of PM-1.2(d) due to the time sensitive nature of these amendments;

Be it resolved to strike R-9.3 and replace it with "Only members of council, and invited third parties, whom may be required for the specific discussion shall remain in the in-camera session;

Be it further resolved to add a new section to R-9.5 that reads

“In-Camera discussions cannot be disclosed to directors who were not present in the in-camera session.

R-9.5(a) would be notwithstanding, in-camera sessions may be disclosed to investigatory committees, in which the investigations pertain to breaches of fiduciary duties. The investigatory committee may only use said information within the scope of the investigation.

R-9.5(b) would be for the purpose of R-9.5(a), investigatory committees shall be: The committee on Councillor Breaches of Confidence as outlines in S0-22.”

Be it further resolved to amend SO-22 (“CCBC”) by striking SO-22.11 and replace it with: “The committee shall report to council on the status of its investigations at each meeting of council”;

Be it further resolved to renumber the policy.

NOT CARRIED

- The motion has achieved 56% in favour votes and does not meet the two-third threshold.

In Favour (19): Cognitive Science, Communications, FCAT, Film Student Union, French, Mathematics, Mechatronics System, Philosophy, Political Science, Psychology, Science Undergraduate Society, Society of Arts and Social Science, Sociology and Anthropology, World Languages and Literature, President, VP Internal, VP Finance, VP Events, Physics.

Against (12): Biology, Biomedical Physiology & Kinesiology, Computing Science, GSWS, History, Indigenous Studies Student Union, Physics, DNA, Women’s Centre, SEES, VP External, and VP Equity.

Abstentions (11): Bachelor of Environment, Behavioral Neuroscience, Data Science Earth Science, Economics, Education, Engineering Science, Geography, Interactive Arts and Technology, Software Systems, Statistics and Actuarial Science.

- History councillor mentioned that they are extremely concerned about this motion as it is a highly questionable motion to propose. This essential means that any council member that are not in attendance of any of the in-

camera discussions are not granted any access to the in-camera discussions that were taken place. They expressed their frustration with this and expressed that it is highly irregular and lacks transparency.

- VP Internal directly responded to History Councillor and corrected that the in-camera rules as to directors who are not present in camera actually are not allowed to be shared any in-camera information with is not something that is irregular and this is something that happens. Also, they mentioned that there is no policy in place in the society around in-camera and it is up to the chairs discretion or the organization policies as to how it is being managed. In addition to that, this has been done before at the last board in March, where rules were suspended twice – once to create CCBC and the second time to bring up IP-11 in relation to Palestine Issues Policy and it was done by the suspension of PM-1.2 in a similar manner. They expressed the importance of this motion to the council and highly encouraged them to vote in favour of it as this is something that has been done in the past and is not something that is irregular.
- In response to Science Undergraduate Society councillor regarding if this entails to withholding information such as transcripts and recording from anyone who has not attended the in-camera meetings and if they can provide as much of the insight as possible for the in-camera session, VP Internal mentioned that this was highlighted to councillors during the orientation and the first development session. SFSS does not have in-camera recordings or in-camera minutes. This is something that is not allowed.
- VP Internal mentioned that this policy was brought through the governance committee as the policy amendments are to be brought up by the governance committee. The committee had approved unanimously to approve the recommendation to council. This is part of their review process and they mentioned that R-9.5 is relevant and crucial enough to pass to ensure even if they are doing committee in-camera, the at large members are not invited to the in-camera because it is only for the directors, this is to ensure that the society is kept safe, and make sure that all the information that is privilege states privileged. They expressed that given the recent situation around In-camera, they wanted to clarify the policy to make sure

that it is being followed and there are no further potential instances of breaches.

- Mathematics councillor in response to History councillor mentioned that this is something that is irregular and there is no doubt about this. This is something that has been done before twice. It has been done for the formation of the CCBC and it has been done in relation to the Palestinian Liberation Issues Policy. They suggested council to seriously think through the CCBC report that was provided to them as CCBC has a job to do and this policy allows them to do that. It allows to get a full picture of what has gone. They strongly supported this amendment.
- In response to Computing Science councillor regarding why this is time sensitive and if this would essentially relate to in terms of executive turnover, and also, if the In-Camera policy implies then one executive committee could not tell the next executive committee about any in-camera situations that happened the previous year and it can sort of be a trouble in terms of progress moving forward and they assume CCBC will then be in violation of this as well, VP Internal clarified that this is a standard practice. Secondly, as per their observation in the past months, this policy is applied as people see it fit, they clarified that in-camera items are in relation to HR issues, contract negotiations, bargaining for the collective, and for legal matters. These are the things that are currently in the policy that makes them go in-camera and make it eligible for them to go in-camera due to the sensitive items that are to be discussed. They mentioned in terms of executive turnover, if there is anything legal it is expected that the society lawyer will walk through the executives. They spoke in favour of this motion and suggested council to adopt this policy as it is important to tighten up how an in-camera session works because they have observed it in the past especially the past few months, as to what happens when an in-camera session is not respected and members are not clear on what they can or cannot disclose. This is an issue putting our society staff, legal assets, and councillors in danger.
- The Board Organizer mentioned that the current executive committee were not in any in-camera discussions during the turnover from last executive to the current ones. They agreed with our VP Internal and mentioned that

- there is a need to have an outline around the in-camera conversations.
- In response to physics councillor alternate regarding their confusion about two people speaking in favour of this motion and expressing that this is something well in order and completely normal to do (and as per what they think is a very large change in policy with less than 24 hours of notice) and the other person mentioning that it is very important to do but is irregular, VP Internal clarified that what our Mathematics councillor meant by “irregular” is that it does not happen in normal circumstances. But, it is regular in terms of precedence. Past precedence shows that the suspension of PM-1.2 has passed only in two circumstances where one of the matter was of immediate importance in regards to CCBC creation. So, by irregularity they mean this should not be happening at any regular council meeting. But, in terms of the gravity of the situation and the need to pass it now, this is deemed regular.
 - VP Equity expressed that this is concerning in regards to lack of transparency and lack of time that was given to everyone for a decision this big. They mentioned that this undermines the democratic nature of the society as well that not all directors do have access to the in-camera information. They mentioned that the fact that this is happening so urgently and we cannot get information as to why it is time sensitive, and as to how the previous three investigations were passed without coming to council and without council having any understanding of it is concerning.
 - In response to VP Finance regarding clarity around three investigations that were stated by them, VP Equity mentioned that they meant the investigation about the email that was sent out by the executive, the fake email, and the leak.
 - DNA councillor expressed their concern about how his motion is being handled and how it seems like Council is being rushed into decisions to suspend and change important protective rules with policy. With inadequate information about the situation as a whole, inadequate time to process in, adequate time to consult with our student groups, there is a huge lack of transparency about this whole process and we are asked to vote on to be even less transparent to our key decision makers within the society. They mentioned that this is not a good governance at all as In-

camera is used to protect some confidential information, but that does not mean the everything in-camera is confidential and there is information still needed to be shared to the councillors. Directors not having access to in-camera information undermines democratic decision making of the society. Democratic decision making requires that decision makers are informed when making decisions.

- DNA councillor expressed that it is further troubling given the fact that individuals within the CCBC now have conflicts of interest and could be potentially implicated themselves in the ongoing investigations. They mentioned that conflict of interests have been raised by SFSS management via email sent out to council earlier today. This does not give them confidence that it would give a fair independent investigation. They feel that there is a need for the lawyer to talk to them first in order to make sure council fully understands the situation and time must be taken to make sure council is taking a well informed decision. They feel that councillors are not informed well enough and they themselves are rushing and skimming to read through the policy at the last minute sent before the meeting. They feel that this is not a good motion at all and they strongly encouraged councillors to not vote in favour of this.
- Science Undergraduate Society councillor clarified the DNA councillor regarding the lack of time to review the decision and mentioned that on their part they sent these 24 hours before the meeting so everyone would have a chance to look through this.
- Science Undergraduate Society councillor clarified the DNA councillor regarding the time constraint that has been put to this situation is because before the lawyer reads over the precedent and explain this entire thing in a manner that every councillor can confidentially say that they can understand this, is something that will take too long to happen. They also clarified that if there are issues surrounding sharing information with directors who are not in-camera, there is a problem when the in-camera information is being passed through a third party that affect the decision being made within the situation.
- VP Internal in response to DNA Councillor Concern regarding conflict of interest, mentioned that this is something that has been applied prior and

is in the policy. Conflict of interest surround around transactional issues such as around money. This has been how the policy has been implemented and has been applied by the past board.

- The DNA Councillor expressed that the conflict of interest that we have now is that the CCBC, individuals within them are investigating an issue they potentially have been responsible for such as the leaks. They mentioned that they find it hugely troubling that they could be potentially investigating themselves. That is the conflict of interest that has been identified and has been something they had reiterated in their email to council that they have no trust in this process as a councillor.
- FCAT councillor moved end the debate.

8.3 Move to Call the Question - MOTION COUNCIL 2022-06-22:07

Rastko/ Ayooluwa

CARRIED

In Favour (27): Bachelor of Environment, Behavioral Neuroscience, Biology, Biomedical Physiology & Kinesiology, Cognitive Science, Communications, Computing Science, Education, FCAT, Film Student Union, French, Interactive Arts and Technology, Mathematics, Mechatronics System, Philosophy, Political Science, Psychology, Science Undergraduate Society, Society of Arts and Social Science, Sociology and Anthropology, Software Systems, SASSA, World Languages and Literature, President, VP Internal, VP Finance, VP Events.

Against (8): History, Indigenous Studies Student Union, Physics, GSWS, DNA, Women's Centre, VP External, and VP Equity.

Abstentions (5): Data Science, Earth Science, Physics, Engineering Science, Geography

**10 Minutes break taken at 7:10 PM.*

8.4 Nominating Committee Election- MOTION COUNCIL 2022-06-22:08

SUBMITTED BY: VP Internal and Organizational Development

Helen / Ben

Be it resolved to elect Councillor(s) Vadym Shakhraichuk and Abhishek Parmar to Nominating committee for the council terms of 2022-2023.

CARRIED AS AMENDED

- VP Internal highly encourages councillor who are not yet in any of the committees to nominate themselves.
- Three Calls for nominations were called by the President.
- Data Science Student Union nominated themselves.
- VP Finance nominated themselves.

8.5 Vancouver Campus Committee Election- MOTION COUNCIL 2022-06-22:09

SUBMITTED BY: VP Internal and Organizational Development

Mover / Seconder

Be it resolved to elect Councillor(s) A, B, C, and D to serve on the Vancouver Campus Committee for the council terms of 2022-2023.

POSTPONED

- Three calls for nomination were called by the President.
- VP Internal nominated Earth Science councillor and they did not accept the nomination
- VP Internal nominated Economics Councillor and they did not accept the nomination.

8.6 Move to Postpone 8.5- MOTION COUNCIL 2022-06-22:10

VP Internal / Helen

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

8.7 Call the Question- MOTION COUNCIL 2022-06-22:11

VP Internal / Helen

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

8.8 SFU Bridging for Future Initiative- MOTION COUNCIL 2022-06-22:12

SUBMITTED BY: Sociology and Anthropology "Arthur Lee"

ATTACHMENTS: Motion for Council June 22,2022.docx

Arthur / Ashley

Whereas the ongoing Ukrainian conflict have a continued impact towards Ukrainian SFU students and other affected parties on SFU community at large;

Whereas Ukraine remains to be under siege from an unprovoked attack from Russia since February 2022;

Whereas the SFSS has adopted an issues policy declaring its opposition to all forms of racism, colonialism, imperialism, and oppression;

Be it resolved to task VP Finance to investigate access to funding to Ukrainian and Russian students in SFU;

Be it further resolved for council to task University and Academic Affairs Committee to strike a working group to gather and evaluate any information involving Ukrainian Students Supports and report back to Council;

Be it further resolved to task Arthur Lee, Ryley McWilliams, VP Internal, Helen Sofia Pahou, and Nicole Kirigin to the working group.

CARRIED AS AMENDED

- SASU Councillor move to amend the team composition and strike Philosophy and Society of Arts and Social Sciences councillors to replace with the President and the VPUAA.
- SASU Councillor moved to amend February 2021-year date to February 2022.
- SEES expressed their desire to involve councillors in this. They mentioned that they have personal experience in regards to the war and they are aware of some of the things that are needed and how students are affected at SFU.
- In response to SEES councillor, VP Internal expressed that the working groups are open to membership so anyone can come to the meeting.
- SASU Councillors expressed that they can look into the possibility of having more members in the future. But, at the moment they are looking to work in smaller scale before they move further on.

9. DISCUSSION ITEMS

9.1 Concerns about Retaliate Actions from SFU amidst Confidential

Complaints letter.

SUBMITTED BY: VP Equity and Sustainability “Rea Chatterjee”

- VP Equity expressed that the reason behind getting this discussion item forward is to ensure that SFSS governance remains transparent and that the Council are informed about the recent actions regarding the motion that was brought forward for an emergency executive meeting on June 14th.
- The discussion item was brought forward, so that Council can discuss question and receive clarity before deciding to move forward with action. Also, because a lot of this a lot of emails were sent out and it is very hard and confusing to navigate so they just want to provide clarity and transparency to all of council.
- So, the petition for an emergency meeting was emailed to executives on Saturday June 11th at 11:57 pm and it was signed by the VP Internal, VP Events, VP Finance, and VPUAA and then about 30 minutes later the President called an emergency meeting at the earliest time available, which was one business day. The purpose of this meeting was to vote on to apologize for a letter of complaint that multiple campus groups have been involved with. And, to give some clarity on the letter of complaint itself, following all appropriate procedures, a complaint process was started by the GSS executives, TSSU executives, SFSS last year executives, SOCA, FNMISA, DNA, SFPIRG, and SFU 350 which included a letter which raised concerns about the SFU general council and University Secretary and the current SFSS executive have been aware of this letter, since last term, which is also documented in April 2022 agenda minutes. However, this term the SFU admin had private meetings with select GSS and as SFSS representatives and this information was given from the GSS representative who also stated that defamation was mentioned by the by the SFU admin and then soon after this the emergency SFSS executive meeting was called. And, the motion to apologize was passed at the executive meeting with limited discussion due to time constraints of the meeting. In the recording it can be seen that they also stated multiple times that they do not have adequate information to move forward, and they also have not considered the legal vulnerability that comes with an apology if the emphasis is to

make one to.

- They mentioned that they wanted to inform Council of this issue and to also raise their concerns about how this motion was handled, the email that they had sent out, with all the information that they had said being ex-camera. This Board was not had not talked to the other signatories involved.
- In response to FCAT councillor regarding why VP Equity (cc) in the email a bunch of non SFSS contacts in the email instead of just sending it off only to Council, the VP Equity answered that the email that was sent out was to well inform the people who were involved. The people who were in the email were the groups that signed on the letter.
- History Councillor raised their concern around the details of the email. They mentioned that the email describes how a SFU representative was potentially intimidating members of the executive into backing down on signing on the letter. However, recently the Council have received an email from our Board Organizer stating that there was a meeting that took place between the previous executives and the executives currently in power where there was a motion regarding this letter initially proposed and was carried unanimously. We want to apologize for something let us make it something that we actually think we should be apologizing for not something that we agreed to, and now we are flip flopping around because we are getting a little bit of pressure from SFU as it display a bad precedents. In instances where we are concerned about defamation, there are also some anti slap suit laws that exist in situations like for us where we are a society and we are trying to advocate for students, trying to advocate for their legal rights. In regards to complaints there is also an email regarding SFU response to this sent by DNA Councillor earlier today essentially saying that SFU will gladly respect any and all complaint process that takes place. In addition to that, they think that we should consider how us going back on our word by signing on to this letter initially impacts the other signatories of this letter of complaint, these are all groups of people that decided to work with us on this particular issue. They mentioned that they think it is really unfortunate that the executives decided to take this issue into an emergency meeting, and not even

- consider consulting anyone in the Council.
- VP Internal clarified that this letter was signed by SFSS Executives and this letter was never brought to the Council as it was within their capacity and to distance themselves from past decisions that is allowed within the principles.
 - VP Equity expressed that there was no urgency on this matter, and no urgency to apologize and this was communicated by the other groups involved such as the GSS advocate.
 - VP Finance clarified that given that the previous executives signed on this, this was not brought to council last semester either. Council would never have been consulted for this as it is a matter of executive committee meeting and it has nothing to do with Council specifically.
 - DNA Councillor mentioned that DNA was one of the original signatories of the letter. We have serious concerns that a day after this exact motion, there was a fake email posing as the groups leaking the actual contents of the confidential complaints letter which was going through an official complaints process. This violated the consent of the complainant, it causes the lost of trust in a society and puts SFSS in a compromising position legally to have a leak of such magnitude. They express the need to call for a fair and independent investigation into this fake email that was leaked. But they have concerns that this is not what is going on with enormous conflicts of interest in the current investigative team. They expressed that in their email that they sent to the councillors they highly suspect that the fake emails followed soon after emergency executive meeting where majority of executives voted to apologize publicly and share its apology if the letter was ever distributed to council. It is troubling that some of those who voted in favor have also had access to send this fake email to Council and lead to that distribution. It is further troubling that there are clear conflicts of interest within the CCBC knowing that some within the CCBC being executives had access and potential motive to leak the letter. So, it is not appropriate for the current CCBC to investigate this issue, whether it be about the leak of letter, or the individual who sent the email on June 14 to inform Council about the ongoing issue for transparency sake.

- This decision for a public apology affects all of the SFSS and they have huge concerns about the negative impact this potential public policy would have. By pre-emptively apologizing just because SFU intimidated the threat of defamation, it really damages SFSS reputation and relationships with other campus groups to have made these decisions without even contacting the other signatory groups too.
- French Councillor requested DNA Councillor to clarify on the serious concerns that they mentioned regarding the neutrality for the concerning committee that would be investigating remains speculation at this point and is their theory and the information is unconfirmed, the DNA Councillor mentioned that as per their understanding our Board Organizer has raised potential conflict of interests by both the signatory groups and the staff, the conflicts of interests are not speculative because there are individuals within the CCBC currently who had access to that letter and could have indeed been the ones who leaked it.
 - VP Finance requested DNA Councillor to clarify if they are accusing the Executive Committee of leaking the letter, and the DNA Councillor expressed that they are not making any specific accusations but are identifying conflicts of interests that has been raised.
 - The Board Organizer clarified that in their email they identified a potential conflict of interest and it is only pertaining to the group of folks that were in the room, during the last April 19 meeting of the Executive Committee. They expressed that they do want to make sure that is clear and that they have not identified anything outside of that. They emphasised to make this very clear.
 - VP External raised some concerns about this not being put forward as a discussion item and only being pushed as motion. So, that should have been any discussion item first at a regular executive meeting. And, it could have been an ongoing executive decision, because there was no emergency on this.
 - VP External expressed that they and our VP Equity have been feeling treated as really isolating within the executive group as well as being excluded from discussions. They mentioned that they do not feel respected, especially with like how this emergency meeting was arranged.

- The President clarified that the SFU had approached her about the letter and how it is concerning, that it calls for defamation.
- Mathematics Councillor requested VP Equity to clarify something about on of the emails that was sent over to several stakeholder groups including SFU Systemic change, GSS Executive advocate mentioned that the SFU Systemic change was the email address used to communicate directly with the university through one centralized communication method for all of the groups. There is no organization behind that as it is the coalition of people that had originally signed the letter.
- GSS Executive advocate mentioned that they think it is really important for the Council not to look at the contents of the original complaint letter and look into any of that kind of information, but there is a concern about how SFSS has responded to a possible claim of defamation. As cited by Joy Johnson's email this morning, the actual defamation claim is not valid as they have backed out. Qualified privilege exists for all complaints processes and it is extremely troubling that the SFSS executives would proceed to apologize for something on behalf of someone else's complaint. Because you are undermining a complaint process that otherwise should be held whole by allowing it to proceed through a normal complaint. They expressed that there were private meetings held it was sort of a political act by the administration more than a central one. Because they did not meet with all groups and give all groups, the same information. So, they were trying to undermine the actual complaint, because it is concerning and it implicates people in the university. Seeing that this rush decision was made by a portion of the executive who were aware of what was going on with complaint process, and those executives proceeding to issue this apology has really breached trust with the other organizations, it has set a bad precedent for how complaints are filed at SFU.
- In response to VPUAA regarding who the signatory of the letters are, the Graduate Student Society Executive advocate mentioned that was already shared and it includes seven signatory - SOCA, GSS, DNA, SFSS Executive, SFPIRG, TSSU Executives.
- VP University responded GSS advocate regarding the signatory of the letter

- as according to what they mentioned SFSS Executives is signatory of the letter and how they did not ask consent from other signatories, keeping their words limited ex-camera they mentioned that this goes both ways.
- SUS Councillor in response to GSS Executive advocate mentioned that they fully sympathize with their issue in regards to the undermining of the complaints process, but at the same time, they also have to acknowledge the fact that this was a defamatory case which in many situations is not a easy case legally or at grassroots level. So, while there were better ways to go about this, it cannot be completely argued that this completely puts the credibility of the SFSS Executives in jeopardy. Since, by the angle this was approached it did not have to be defamatory, it was not defamatory in by itself, but that was the mindset within it in. The SFSS Executive in and out of itself as far as they could see were acting in the best interest of not only the SFSS but it signatories as well.
 - VP Internal mentioned that the fact that the executive petition was sent to all of council they recommended that councillors have a look as to what was requested to be on the agenda for the executive meeting. They will notice that there is an In-camera item.
 - SEES Councillor expressed that SFSS Executives blundered a little bit, it was an executive matter that was being discussed. But, it does affect Council to a degree, and yes, there are issues with precedence and following up with our allies and our friends. But a little bit what they have been noticing was throughout this entire conversation and the multiple emails that have been sent regarding the constant updating and everything, this does not feel like a SFSS process problem in particular this feels like a politics problem. This legitimately feels like this is a direct attack by the progressives on to the STP. They mentioned that they have reason to believe this, specifically because it is a lash out, details are being shared publicly, and details are probably missing and being hidden, whether because they were In-camera or because they are convenient at the times. Most of these conversations seem like they could have been private conversations that could have been clarified later to Council, to SFU, to the Signatories, and to us. These all feel like private conversations that could have been had much more simple. And yet they are being extremely public and then getting most of Council either

scared or pissed off. This feels more like a political thing and feels like an attack by the progressive against the STP. Because, this could have been sent in an email privately.

- Computing Science Councillor expressed that they feel that the main issue is that the letter was signed by the SFSS Executives. However, it should have gone through Council as they are the governing body. Also, they expressed that the current executives were within their jurisdiction to do what they did, because that letter spoke as a blank statement for the executive in general. The last year executives could have very easily said Executives of 2021-2022 but instead they just mentioned executive which by itself is a blank statement. The mentioned that the new current executive team does not necessarily have to agree with what previous executive team did and they are well within their power to support or disagree with the previous decisions. It is natural to have disagreements at the executive meeting. But, at the end of the day, they following the right process.

10. New Business

10.1 Extend the meeting until 9:30 PM- MOTION COUNCIL 2022-06-22:13

Shariq / Vaibhav

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

11. DISCUSSIONS ITEM

11.1 Update Group Mailing List

SUBMITTED BY: VP Internal and Organizational Development

BLURB: After previous discussions from the last council meeting, updates on the status of getting unions access to their membership mailing lists.

- Postponed

11.2 Update on filling in the position of the Operations Organizer as they will be going on leave

SUBMITTED BY: VP External and Community Affairs "Eshana Baran"

- In response to the VP External regarding when the position will

be posted, The President mentioned that this is the matter of executive and they had planned to have this on the agenda for the executive committee next meeting. They have spoken to our building manager and they are able to take on this temporary role when they are back from their vacation. His tenacity for always working in the operations within the building is there, he has the qualifications and staff trust them to the utmost degree of being there when they need him or when they need the support.

12. IN-CAMERA

12.1 MOTION COUNCIL 2022-06-22:14

Ben / Ethan

Be it resolved to go in-camera for the remainder of the meeting.

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

- Contract Update
- VP Internal expressed her concern to go in-camera in light of recent events and also the discussion that took place earlier today. They mentioned that they are worried to go in-camera to discuss anything confidential. However, it is very time sensitive.
- VP Internal abstained to go in-camera.

13. EX-CAMERA

13.1 MOTION COUNCIL 2022-06-22:15

Helen / Ben

Be it resolved to go ex-camera.

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

14. ATTACHMENTS

14.1 SFU Bridging for Future Initiative.pdf

14.2 Motion for Council June 22, 2022.docx

14.3 Time-Sensitive R-9 and SO-22 Changes.pdf

15. ADJOURNMENT

15.1 MOTION COUNCIL 2022-06-22:16

Helen / Abhishek

Be it resolved to adjourn the meeting at 9:30 PM

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY